

The Health Professions Council

Chief Executive and Registrar: Mr Marc Seale

Park House
184 Kennington Park Road
London SE11 4BU
Telephone: +44 (0)20 7840 9710
Fax: +44 (0)20 7840 9807
e-mail: colin.bendall@hpc-uk.org

Minutes of the Education and Training Panel held on **Thursday 3 July 2008** at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London, SE11 4BU.

Present: Ms H Davis (Chairman)
Mr A Mount
Ms P Sabine
Professor D Waller
Mr N Willis

In attendance:

Mr O Ammar, Education Manager
Mr C Bendall, Secretary to the Panel
Ms T Samuel-Smith, Education Manager

Item 1.08/43 Apologies for absence

1.1 There were no apologies for absence.

Item 2.08/44 Declarations of conflicts of interest

2.1 Members of the Panel had no conflicts of interest to declare in connection with the programmes on the agenda.

Item 3.08/45 Programme approval

3.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programme approval, which are indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

Item 4.08/46 Annual monitoring

4.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programmes which had undergone the annual monitoring process, as indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

Item 5.08/47 Major changes

5.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programmes which had undergone major and minor changes, as indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

Chairman

Date

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2008-06-04	a	ETC	MIN	Minutes Education and Training Panel 10 June 2008	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel notes that the Visitors required extensive documentation from the education provider. The Panel suggests that the Education and Training Committee should encourage Visitors to request documentation prior to a visit if at all possible.

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Name of education provider	The University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Masters of Nutrition (MNutr)
Name of education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Masters of Nutrition (MNutr)
Name of education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full Time (accelerated)
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Programmes which have subject to annual monitoring audit and for
which continuing approval is recommended**

Date of decision: 3 July 2008

Panel : Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree to continuing approval of a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' reports and any other documentary evidence.

The Visitors' reports are only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programmes on the attached list have successfully completed an annual monitoring audit and continue to meet the standards of education and training. The programmes should continue to be approved

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicated that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

List of programmes

Education Provider	Programme Name	Delivery Mode
Glasgow Caledonian University	BSc (Hons) Human Nutrition & Dietetics	Full Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	MSc Dietetics	Full Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	MSc Dietetics	Part Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	Pg Dip Dietetics (Pre-Registration)	Full Time
Queen Margaret University	MSc Art Therapy	Full Time
Queen Margaret University	MSc Art Therapy	Part Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Imaging Science	Full Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	BSc (Hons) Radiation Oncology Science	Full Time

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes for which annual monitoring declarations have been received and for which the Panel is asked to confirm continuing approval

Date of decision: 3 July 2008

Panel : Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree to ongoing approval of a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of documentary evidence.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme(s) on the attached list have submitted an annual monitoring declaration and that the programme(s) should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The programmes listed below have successfully completed an annual monitoring declaration.
List of programmes

Education Provider	Programme Name	Delivery mode
University of Birmingham	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy	Part Time

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Pharmacology (PR)
Name of education provider	University of Wales Institute Cardiff
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

Major change – approval visit required

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel : Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a visit is required, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme has been subject to major change and that an approval visit is required to determine how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training.
Reasons
The visitors' report states that the programme has undergone a major change and an approval visit is required to consider whether the programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

Major change – approval visit required

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a visit is required, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme has been subject to major change and that an approval visit is required to determine how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training.
Reasons
The visitors' report states that the programme has undergone a major change and an approval visit is required to consider whether the programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Minor change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a minor change and continues to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Minor change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	3 July 2008

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Alan Mount
Pam Sabine
Diane Waller
Neil Willis

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a minor change and continues to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**