
**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Birmingham
Name & Title of Programme	MSc Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of Delivery	Full time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Katie Bosworth (Physiotherapist) Liz Holey (Physiotherapist)
Name of Education Officer	Neil Strevett

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made -
- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Katie Bosworth

Date: 5 June 2009

Name: Liz Holey

Date: 5 June 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	b	EDU	APV	AM Visitors' Report-Birmingham- MSc PH-FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Name & Title of Programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of Delivery	Full time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Anne Wilson (Podiatrist) Katie Bosworth (Physiotherapist)
Name of Education Officer	Paula Lescott

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Additional documentation

- Strategic Health Authority response to Annual Contract Review 2009
- Programme Leader CV

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made -

See Section three

Following the receipt of the documentation, the visitors came to the following decision:

- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Anne Wilson

Date: 15 June 2009

Name: Katie Bosworth

Date: 15 June 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - University of Bradford - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Section Three: Additional details

SET 3: Programme management and resource standards

3.4 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

Evidence requested

The education provider is requested to demonstrate that there is an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff to deliver the programme.

Reason for evidence requested

With the education providers staff reduction policy and the reduction in the programme team numbers the visitors require further evidence to show that there is sufficient staffing in place to meet this standard. Despite the education providers assurance in the audit form that this standard is met, the annual report for 2007/2008 indicates a reduction in staff and feedback available to students. The visitors therefore require further information to determine that this standard is being met.

3.7 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be used effectively.

Evidence requested

The education provider is requested to provide evidence of the support from programme staff that is provided to students on placements.

Reason for evidence requested

The annual report for 2007/2008 indicates a concern regarding the level of support that students receive from the education provider during practice placements. The visitors require further evidence about the support provided to students on the programme, particularly in light of the information provided on the decrease in the number of staff on the programme team.

3.7 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be used effectively.

Evidence requested

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - University of Bradford - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

The education provider is requested to provide further evidence on the nature and access to the resource available for prosections experience.

Reason for evidence requested

The annual report for 2007/2008 indicates that first year students have the opportunity to attend sessions at Leeds Medical School for prosections and indicates the benefits of this experience for learning. The report also indicates that the number of students wishing to participate exceeds available places, and that students contribute towards costs.

The visitors raised concerns regarding access to this provision, and as numbers are limited and there are cost implications, whether student experience on the programme was equitable. The visitors require further clarification regarding the place of prosection in the curriculum and learning of the students and how access to this resource is decided.

3.12 The resources provided, both on and off site, must adequately support the required learning and teaching activities of the programme.

Evidence requested

The education provider is requested to provide further evidence of the provision that the Learner Support Unit provides to students on the programme.

Reason for evidence requested

The audit form submitted indicates that students on the programme utilise the Learner Support Unit to supplement academic support from the programme team. It was noted that previously this resource had been provided by members of the programme team. The visitors require evidence of how this resource meets the profession specific requirements of students on the programme.

SET 4: Curriculum standards

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the Standards of Proficiency for their part of the Register.

Evidence requested

The education provider is requested to provide evidence that the programme ensures that students will meet the Standards of Proficiency on successful completion of the programme.

Reason for evidence requested

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - University of Bradford - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

The documentation submitted indicate that a large number of modular changes have occurred on the programme, including in some cases, a decrease in modular hours. To ensure that this standard is being met the visitors require further evidence regarding module content, including module descriptors for the programme.

SET 6: Assessment standards

6.5 There must be effective mechanisms in place to assure appropriate standards in the assessment.

Evidence requested

The visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that there are effective mechanisms in place to assure appropriate standards of assessment.

Reason for evidence requested

The External Examiners report 2007/2008 raised concerns about the number of resits allowed and the carrying forward of failures to subsequent years on the programme. The response to the External Examiners report specifically addresses the concerns raised, and mentions that these would be discussed with proposals to change the regulations surrounding this in 2008/2009.

Although the response indicates that the concerns will be addressed the visitors require further evidence regarding the mechanisms employed within the programme to ensure appropriate assessment standards. In particular the visitors need information regarding the progress of the proposed changes that have been discussed in relation to this issue.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - University of Bradford - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	London Metropolitan University
Name & Title of Programme	BSc (Hons) Human Nutrition & Dietetics
Mode of Delivery	Full Time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Maureen Henderson (Dietitian) Shaaron Pratt (Radiographer)
Name of Education Officer	Rachel Greig

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made –

See Section three

After receipt of additional information the visitors were happy to recommend the following:

- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Maureen Henderson

Date: 21 May 2009

Name: Shaaron Pratt

Date: 21 May 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- BSc DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Section Three: Additional details

SET 4: Curriculum standards

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the Standards of Proficiency for their part of the Register.

Evidence requested

Module descriptors for all modules on the programme

Reason for evidence requested

After reading the course log the visitors noted a significant number of changes occurring to the structure of the programme modules. In order to determine that all the programme learning outcomes continue to be met the visitors require the module descriptors of the programme. Additionally on the basis of the documentation submitted the visitors were confused about the implementation of the third diet therapy module and wish to see how and when it will be incorporated into the programme.

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Maureen Henderson

Date: 17 June 2009

Name: Shaaron Pratt

Date: 17 June 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- BSc DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	London Metropolitan University
Name & Title of Programme	MSc Human Nutrition & Dietetics
Mode of Delivery	Full Time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Maureen Henderson (Dietitian) Shaaron Pratt (Radiographer)
Name of Education Officer	Rachel Greig

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made –

See Section three

After receipt of additional information the visitors were happy to recommend the following:

- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Maureen Henderson

Date: 21 May 2009

Name: Shaaron Pratt

Date: 21 May 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- MSc DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Section Three: Additional details

SET 4: Curriculum standards

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the Standards of Proficiency for their part of the Register.

Evidence requested

Evidence that the programme learning outcomes are still being met

Reason for evidence requested

The visitors wish to see evidence that the re-structure of the programme and the inclusion of three additional modules in the autumn term have not impacted on the learning outcomes and that the learning outcomes continue to be met. Additionally the rationale for including the three extra modules, if indeed the programme learning outcomes have not changed, could to be explained.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmtc	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- MSc DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	London Metropolitan University
Name & Title of Programme	Pg Dip Human Nutrition & Dietetics
Mode of Delivery	Full Time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Maureen Henderson (Dietitian) Shaaron Pratt (Radiographer)
Name of Education Officer	Rachel Greig

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made –

See Section three

After receipt of additional information the visitors were happy to recommend the following:

- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Maureen Henderson

Date: 21 May 2009

Name: Shaaron Pratt

Date: 21 May 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- Pg Dip DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Section Three: Additional details

SET 4: Curriculum standards

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the Standards of Proficiency for their part of the Register.

Evidence requested

Evidence that the programme learning outcomes are still being met

Reason for evidence requested

The visitors wish to see evidence that the re-structure of the programme and the inclusion of three additional modules in the autumn term have not impacted on the learning outcomes and that the learning outcomes continue to be met. Additionally the rationale for including the three extra modules, if indeed the programme learning outcomes have not changed, could to be explained.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	c	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report-London Met- Pg Dip DT FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	London Metropolitan University
Name & Title of Programme	Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Mode of Delivery	Part Time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	J M Pickard (Podiatrist) G Pollard (Paramedic)
Name of Education Officer	Rachel Greig

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- N/A Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- N/A Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

No response to External Examiner exist

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee—

The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made -

The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.

An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: J M Pickard

Date: 1 June 2009

Name: G Pollard

Date: 1 June 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-07-21	b	EDU	APV	AM Report- London Met- SP- PT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

**Visitors' Report
Annual Monitoring**

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Name & Title of Programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of Delivery	Full time
Name of HPC Visitor(s) considering audit submission	Anne Wilson (Podiatrist) Katie Bosworth (Physiotherapist)
Name of Education Officer	Rachel Greig

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report 2006/2007
- Internal quality report 2007/2008
- External Examiner's Report 2006/2007
- External Examiner's Report 2007/2008
- Response to External Examiner's report 2006/2007
- Response to External Examiner's report 2007/2008

Additional documentation

- Practice education handbook

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education & Training Committee–

- The following documentation is requested (please list below) before a final recommendation can be made -
- The programme continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training. Upon successful completion, students will meet the Standards of Proficiency.
- An Approvals visit is required to consider the following Standards of Education and Training - SET 1, SET 2, SET 3, SET 4, SET 5 & SET 6 (delete as appropriate)

(Details of the rationale behind this decision and the focus for the suggested visit should be listed on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Anne Wilson

Date: 4 June 2009

Name: Katie Bosworth

Date: 4 June 2009

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-06-04	b	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - Oxford Brookes University - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Further comments

As a Major Change review is currently underway for this programme this Annual Monitoring audit review did not consider the changes that form part of the Major Change review.

The visitors wished to recommend that in light of the number of changes to the programme lead position over the period reviewed in the audit, that the education provider continues to inform HPC of changes if this situation were to occur again, to ensure that this standard is being met.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-06-04	b	EDU	APV	AM Visitors Report - Oxford Brookes University - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None