

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-Medical Prescribing
Name of education provider	University of Chester
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MA Art Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Derby
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Dip HE Paramedic Practice
Name of education provider	Liverpool John Moores University
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Prescribing for Non Medical Health Professionals
Name of education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Mode of delivery	Part Time; and Flexible
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	FD Paramedic Emergency Care
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time; and Mixed Mode
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Dip HE Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Pharmacology for Podiatrists
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Graduate Diploma Speech & Language Therapy
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Name of education provider	Staffordshire University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Prescribing Principles (Level 3)
Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Prescribing Principles (M Level)
Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	20 May 2009

Panel :
Neil Willis, Chair
Karen Bryan
Helen Davis
John Donaghy
Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Neil Willis (Chairman of the Panel)