

Visitors' report

Section One: Programme Details

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Name of education provider | University of Edinburgh |
| Name and title of programme | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology |
| Mode of delivery | Flexible and full time |
| Name of HPC visitor(s) considering audit submission | Ruth Baker Judith Bamford |
| Name of education executive | Rachel Greig |

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the submission:

- A completed British Psychological Society (BPS) Membership and Professional Training Board (MPTB) report.

Section Two: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education and Training Committee –

- The following clarification/documentation (delete as appropriate) is required before a final decision can be reached:-
- The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.
- An approval visit is required before, and to replace, the currently scheduled visit in the academic year 2011/2012 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Ruth Baker

Date: 24 September 2009

Name: Judith Bamford

Date: 24 September 2009

| Date | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title | Status | Int. Aud. |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2009-09-24 | a | EDU | APV | Mid cycle visitors' report - Edinburgh - Doc PPCL - FT, flexible | Draft DD: None | Public RD: None |

Visitors' report

Section One: Programme Details

| | |
|--|---|
| Name of education provider | Newcastle University |
| Name and title of programme | Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology |
| Mode of delivery | Full time |
| Name of HPC visitor(s) considering audit submission | Ruth Baker Judith Bamford |
| Name of education executive | Rachel Greig |

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the submission:

- A completed British Psychological Society (BPS) Membership and Professional Training Board (MPTB) report.

Section Two: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education and Training Committee –

- The following clarification/documentation (delete as appropriate) is required before a final decision can be reached:-
- The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.
- An approval visit is required before, and to replace, the currently scheduled visit in the academic year 2011/2012 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Ruth Baker

Date: 24 September 2009

Name: Judith Bamford

Date: 24 September 2009

| Date | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title | Status | Int. Aud. |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2009-09-24 | a | EDU | APV | Mid cycle visitors' report - Newcastle - Doc PPE - FT | Draft DD: None | Public RD: None |

Visitors' report

Section One: Programme Details

| | |
|--|---|
| Name of education provider | University of Nottingham |
| Name and title of programme | Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology |
| Mode of delivery | Full time |
| Name of HPC visitor(s) considering audit submission | Ruth Baker Judith Bamford |
| Name of education executive | Rachel Greig |

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the submission:

- A completed British Psychological Society (BPS) Membership and Professional Training Board (MPTB) report.

Section Two: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education and Training Committee –

- The following clarification/documentation (delete as appropriate) is required before a final decision can be reached:-
- The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.
- An approval visit is required before, and to replace, the currently scheduled visit in the academic year 2011/2012 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Judith Bamford

Date: 24 September 2009

Name: Ruth Baker

Date: 24 September 2009

| Date | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title | Status | Int. Aud. |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2009-09-24 | a | EDU | APV | Mid cycle visitors' report - Nottingham - Doc PPE - FT | Draft DD: None | Public RD: None |

Visitors' report

Section One: Programme Details

| | |
|--|---|
| Name of education provider | Queen's University of Belfast |
| Name and title of programme | Doctorate in Educational, Child and Adolescent Psychology |
| Mode of delivery | Full time |
| Name of HPC visitor(s) considering audit submission | Ruth Baker Judith Bamford |
| Name of education executive | Rachel Greig |

Please tick to confirm the documents submitted by the education provider and list any additional documentation submitted in support of the submission:

- A completed British Psychological Society (BPS) Membership and Professional Training Board (MPTB) report.

Section Two: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

Please select one of the following recommendations to the Education and Training Committee –

- The following clarification/documentation (delete as appropriate) is required before a final decision can be reached:-
- The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.
- An approval visit is required before, and to replace, the currently scheduled visit in the academic year 2011/2012 to assess the programme against the standards of education and training and reconfirm ongoing approval of the programme.

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Ruth Baker

Date: 24 September 2009

Name: Judith Bamford

Date: 24 September 2009

| Date | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title | Status | Int. Aud. |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2009-09-24 | a | EDU | APV | Mid cycle visitors' report - Queens - PPE - FT | Draft DD: None | Public RD: None |