

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Degree containing the Registration Training portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Degree containing the Registration Training portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Degree followed by Registration Training portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Non-accredited degree followed by Registration Training Portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Forensic Psychology
Education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Top up Professional Doctorate in Forensic Psychology
Education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

**Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing for Health Professions Council (HPC) Members Level 6
Education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Programme name	Post-Graduate Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing Health Professions Council (HPC) Members Level 7
Education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	MSc (pre-registration) in Speech and Language Therapy
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full time/Part time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel:
Helen Davis, Chair
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted
Reasons:
The Panel would like to thank the Education Provider for their observations.

Upon reviewing the observations, the Panel agreed this information should be submitted to the Visitors as part of their response to conditions.

Signed: Helen Davis (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	Postgraduate Diploma (pre-registration) Speech and Language Therapy
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel :
Helen Davis, Chair
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted
Reasons:
The Panel would like to thank the Education Provider for their observations.

Upon reviewing the observations, the Panel agreed this information should be submitted to the Visitors as part of their response to conditions.

Signed: Helen Davis (Chairman of the Panel)

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Degree containing the Registration Training Portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

**Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Degree followed by Registration Training Portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

**Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Programme name	Certificate of Competence (Non-accredited degree followed by Registration Training Portfolio)
Education provider	Institute of Biomedical Science (IBMS)
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

**Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing for Health Professions Council (HPC) Members Level 6
Education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Programme name	Post-Graduate Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing Health Professions Council (HPC) members Level 7
Education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**
Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Helen Davis, Panel Chair