

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Bournemouth University
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Occupational Therapists
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	21 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Claire Brewis (Occupational Therapist) Joanna Goodwin (Occupational Therapist)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Ruth Wood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

3.4 There must be a named person who has overall responsibility for the programme who must be appropriately qualified and experienced and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be on the relevant part of the register.

3.5 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

Change of Programme leader from Gwyneth James to Dr Bethan Collins. Dr Collins was recruited from within the programme team and is effective from 1 September 2009.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Major change notification form CV of Dr Bethan Collins Additional information regarding the overall staffing.
---

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	3

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Teesside University
<b>Programme name</b>	Foundation Degree Paramedic Science
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	12 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Glyn Harding (Paramedic) Marcus Bailey (Paramedic)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Paula Lescott

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

##### SET 4 Curriculum

The change has identified duplication in current module content. Through feedback the modules have been re-written to ensure the tailoring to paramedic practice in the content and removal of duplicated content.

##### SET 6 Assessment

The amount and method of assessment has been changed to reflect the module changes but also to give a staged approach to the overall assessment schedule.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Major Change Submission Form  
Major Change Supporting Evidence Document  
Effective Communication for Paramedic Practice (module descriptor)  
Foundations of Anatomy and Pathophysiology (module descriptor)  
Foundations of Anatomy and Pathophysiology for paramedics (module descriptor)  
Knowledge and Skills for Practice (module descriptor)

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

**4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.**

**4.4 The curriculum must remain relevant to current practice.**

**6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.**

**Reason:** The documentation, while detailed in the changes of these modules, identifies that duplicated content is addressed in other modules. The visitors require documented evidence to ensure this is the case and that the standards of proficiency are met along with the content reflecting current paramedic practice.

**Suggested Documentation:** The visitors would like to view the remaining relevant module descriptors that includes indicative content, learning outcomes and assessment strategy.

#### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
  
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.



## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	1
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	The University of Northampton
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Chiropodists / Podiatrists
<b>Relevant entitlement(s)</b>	Local anaesthesia Prescription only medicine
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	10 February 2009
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Gordon Burrow (Chiropodist/Podiatrist)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Brendon Edmonds

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

SETs mapping document CV – Fiona Dickenson
---

### Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

#### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
  
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University Campus Suffolk
<b>Awarding institution (if different from education provider)</b>	University of East Anglia University of Essex
<b>Programme name</b>	Diploma in Higher Education Operating Department Practice
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full Time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Operating Department Practitioner
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	05 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Penny Joyce (Operating Department Practitioner) Stephen Oates (Operating Department Practitioner)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

##### SET 2 Programme admissions

The admissions criteria has been altered for 2010 intake of students to change the UCAS credit points to 160

##### SET 4 Curriculum

New IPL modules, with one as a non credit-bearing component of the ODP programme

##### SET 6 Assessment

New IPL modules, with one as a non credit-bearing component of the ODP programme

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

USC Programme Major Change Nov 2008  
HPC SOPs mapping 2009  
IPL Curriculum Definitive Document Jan 2009  
IPL Self evaluation document 2009  
UCS CAT 3 form – change to IPL provision June 2009 x 2  
UCS major change extra information Oct 2009  
UCS Major Change form Sept 2009  
Major Change visitors report 2007  
Major Change SETS mapping document  
DipHE ODP Programme Specification  
IPL Course Team Minutes  
The Professional Person module team minutes

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University College London
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Speech Sciences
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Speech & Language Therapy
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	20 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Elspeth McCartney (Speech language therapist) Gillian Stevenson (Speech language therapist)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Ruth Wood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 – Programme management and resources

3.4 There must be a named person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme who must be appropriately qualified and experienced and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be on the relevant part of the Register.

3.5 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

The programme leader has changed to Dr Rachel Rees. Dr Rees has been promoted from within the teaching team which may leave a gap in staffing.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Major Change Visitors report UCL BSc Speech Sciences module list Rachel Rees CV Teaching Data for HPC 2009-2010</p>
--

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of East London
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full-time and Part-time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Physiotherapy
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	15 February 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Fleur Kitsell (Physiotherapy) Nicki Smith (Physiotherapy)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change to the field lead role for the BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy programme

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Major Change Notification form Curriculum Vitae for David Watkinson as field leader Staffing lists for Physiotherapy and Curriculum Vitae for the programme leader for Physiotherapy Evidence to support how the programme leader and programme team support the field leader in his role.</p>
---

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of East London
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy (Situated Learning)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Flexible
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Physiotherapy
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	15 February 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Fleur Kitsell (Physiotherapy) Nicki Smith (Physiotherapy)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change to the field lead role for the BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy programme

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Major Change Notification form  
Curriculum Vitae for David Watkinson as field leader  
Staffing lists for Physiotherapy and Curriculum Vitae for the programme leader for Physiotherapy  
Evidence to support how the programme leader and programme team support the field leader in his role.

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	2

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of East London
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Podiatric Medicine
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full Time and Part time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Chiropodists and Podiatrists
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	20 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	James Pickard (Podiatrist) Gordon Burrow (Podiatrist)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change to programme field leader

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV of Dave Watkinson
----------------------

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

Section One: Programme Details .....	1
Section Two: Submission Details .....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation .....	1
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s) .....	1

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Hertfordshire
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	19 January 2010
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Glyn Harding (Paramedic) James Petter (Paramedic)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Ruth Wood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

3.2 The programme must be effectively managed.

3.3 The programme must have regular monitoring and evaluation systems in place.

The programme leader for the approved BSc (Hons) Paramedic (FT) programme is to change to the same person as the programme leader for the approved Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science (FT).

The programme management is to be altered to 'streamline' the managerial arrangements. The changes affect the management structure and processes for this programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Programme specification – Foundation degree in paramedic Science          Programme specification – BSc (Hons) Paramedic science          Outline proposal of new structure          Management structure</p>
--

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

<b>Section One: Programme Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Two: Submission Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Three: Additional Documentation</b> .....	1
<b>Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)</b> .....	1

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Plymouth
<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	1 December 2009
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Vince Clarke (Paramedic) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Relocation of site from the University of Plymouth Drake Circus Campus to the Faculty of Health Peninsula Allied Health Centre, at the College of St Mark and St. John (Marjon)

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Paramedic Brochure 2009 Open Day Presentation Point Scoring Matrix Interview Schedule Programme Handbook 2009 / 2010 Job Advertisement Annual Programme Monitoring Minutes 05.11.09
---

Pre Hospital Trauma Life Support Course  
Equipment List  
List of Named Paramedic Mentors  
List of Prepared Mentors by SWAST  
Acute Trust Placement Guidelines  
Module Handbook  
Induction Pack for SWAST  
Minutes of Meeting 30.11.2009  
Practice placement activity sheet  
Student handbook  
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff  
External examiners' reports

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

<b>Section One: Programme Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Two: Submission Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Three: Additional Documentation</b> .....	1
<b>Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)</b> .....	1

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Plymouth
<b>Programme name</b>	Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Studies (Community Emergency Health)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	1 December 2009
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Vince Clarke (Paramedic) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Relocation of site from the University of Plymouth Drake Circus Campus to the Faculty of Health Peninsula Allied Health Centre, at the College of St Mark and St. John (Marjon)

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Paramedic Brochure 2009 Open Day Presentation Point Scoring Matrix Interview Schedule Programme Handbook 2009 / 2010 Job Advertisement Annual Programme Monitoring Minutes 05.11.09
---

Pre Hospital Trauma Life Support Course  
Equipment List  
List of Named Paramedic Mentors  
List of Prepared Mentors by SWAST  
Acute Trust Placement Guidelines  
Module Handbook  
Induction Pack for SWAST  
Minutes of Meeting 30.11.2009  
Practice placement activity sheet  
Student handbook  
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff  
External examiners' reports

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major Change Visitors' Report

### Contents

<b>Section One: Programme Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Two: Submission Details</b> .....	1
<b>Section Three: Additional Documentation</b> .....	1
<b>Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)</b> .....	1

### Section One: Programme Details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Plymouth
<b>Programme name</b>	Graduate Diploma Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of HPC register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to HPC</b>	1 December 2009
<b>Name and profession of HPC visitors</b>	Vince Clarke (Paramedic) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
<b>HPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section Two: Submission Details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Relocation of site from the University of Plymouth Drake Circus Campus to the Faculty of Health Peninsula Allied Health Centre, at the College of St Mark and St. John (Marjon)

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Paramedic Brochure 2009 Open Day Presentation Point Scoring Matrix Interview Schedule Programme Handbook 2009 / 2010 Job Advertisement Annual Programme Monitoring Minutes 05.11.09
---

Pre Hospital Trauma Life Support Course  
Equipment List  
List of Named Paramedic Mentors  
List of Prepared Mentors by SWAST  
Acute Trust Placement Guidelines  
Module Handbook  
Induction Pack for SWAST  
Minutes of Meeting 30.11.2009  
Practice placement activity sheet  
Student handbook  
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff  
External examiners' reports

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.