

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time and part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Pg Dip Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing (level three)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing (M level)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Cumbria
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy (Accelerated Route)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of East Anglia
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Clinical Language Sciences (Speech and Language Therapy)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Programme name	Non-medical Prescribing (v300) (Level 3)
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Staffordshire
Programme name	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	University of Surrey
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Nutrition and Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Penny Renwick
Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change – ongoing approval

Education provider	Swansea University
Programme name	Dip HE Paramedic Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**