

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Pg Dip Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Occupational Therapy
Date of submission to HPC	16 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Claire Brewis (Occupational therapist) Jane Grant (Occupational therapist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from the temporary leader Heidi Von Kurthy to Lee Price.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV of Dr Lee Price

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Chester
Programme name	MSc Nutrition and Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Dietetics
Date of submission to HPC	6 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	June Copeman (Dietitian)
HPC executive	Paula Lescott

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Major Change notification form CV for A Psarou</p>

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Chester
Programme name	Pg Dip Nutrition and Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Dietetics
Date of submission to HPC	6 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	June Copeman (Dietitian)
HPC executive	Paula Lescott

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Major Change notification form CV for A Psarou</p>

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Cumbria
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Occupational Therapy
Date of submission to HPC	7 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Nicola Spalding (Occupational Therapist)
HPC executive	Paula Lescott

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV of new programme lead: Alison Hampson
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details.....	1
Section Two: Submission Details.....	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation.....	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors.....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University Campus Suffolk
Awarding institution (if different from education provider)	Universities of East Anglia and Essex
Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiography Physiotherapy Chiropody / Podiatry
Relevant entitlements	Supplementary Prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	24 July 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Gordon Burrow (Chiropodist/Podiatrist) Jim Petter (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Sue Blainey – CV December 2009

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Ulster
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Relevant part of HPC register	Occupational Therapy
Date of submission to HPC	16 August 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of Course Director – Karen Jeffers from July 2010

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Curriculum Vitae for Karen Jeffers

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.