

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Qualification in Health Psychology (Stage 2) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | British Psychological Society                |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Flexible                                     |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                      |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | MSc Occupational Therapy (pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Oxford Brookes University                   |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                   |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                     |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | MSc Physiotherapy (pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Oxford Brookes University            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                            |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011              |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | MSc Diagnostic Radiography (pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Queen Margaret University                     |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                     |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                       |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | PgDip Diagnostic Radiography (pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Queen Margaret University                       |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                       |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                         |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |                                  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | PsychD in Counselling Psychology |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Roehampton University            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                        |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011          |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Doctorate in Counselling Psychology (DCounsPsy) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | Teesside University                             |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                       |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                         |

**Panel:** **Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | MSc Diagnostic Radiography (Pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | The Robert Gordon University                  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                     |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                       |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Post Graduate Diploma Diagnostic Radiography (Pre-registration) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | The Robert Gordon University                                    |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time   |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011   |

**Panel:** **Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |                                   |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography |
| <b>Education provider</b> | University of Bradford            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                         |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011           |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair) Penny Renwick  
Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:** \_\_\_\_\_, **Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |                         |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | BSc (Hons) Audiology    |
| <b>Education provider</b> | University of Bristol   |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time               |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011 |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (ClinPsyD) |
| <b>Education provider</b> | University of Manchester                    |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time                                   |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011                     |

**Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Health Psychology (PhD) and PG Cert in Health Psychology Practice |
| <b>Education provider</b> | University of Surrey  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Full Time   |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011   |

**Panel:** **Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**

## Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Programme name</b>     | Health Psychology (PhD) and PG Cert in Health Psychology Practice |
| <b>Education provider</b> | University of Surrey  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>   | Part Time   |
| <b>Date of decision</b>   | Tuesday 6 December 2011   |

**Panel:** **Diane Waller (Chair)**  
**Jeff Lucas**

**Penny Renwick**  
**Eileen Thornton**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Decision</b>   |
| That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.                       |
| <b>Reasons</b>  |
| The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training. |

**Signed:**

**, Panel Chair**