

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details.....	1
Section three: Additional documentation.....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor.....	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	City University
Programme title	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Counselling psychologist
Date of submission to HPC	16 March 2011
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Dave Packwood (Counselling psychologist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

A new programme leader, Pavlos Filippopoulos, has been appointed.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Relevant CVs including Pavlos Filippopoulos

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme title	Graduate Diploma Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to HPC	17 March 2011
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Aileen Patterson (Speech and language therapist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources
A new programme leader has been appointed.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV of replacement course leader H. Kelly
- Course material and handbooks showing updated changes to Course leader

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details.....	1
Section three: Additional documentation.....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors.....	3

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme title	Supplementary Prescribing (1) (Formerly Supplementary Prescribing (Level 3))
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	26 January 2011
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Gordon Burrow (Podiatrist) Bob Dobson (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 6 Assessment

Changes have been made to the assessment of the learning outcomes.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Module descriptor NAM 85
- Appendix 1 Application form
- Appendix 2 Module handbook NA3112 L6
- Appendix 3 Module handbook NAM 85 L7
- Appendix 4 Student handbook
- Appendix 5 NA3112 L6 CMP Assessment grid

- Appendix 6 NAM85 L7 CMP Assessment grid
- Appendix 7 NA3112 L6 Assessment Portfolio grid
- Appendix 8 NAM 85 L7 Assessment Portfolio Grid
- Appendix 9 Portfolio Calculation summative
- Appendix 10 Portfolio Clinical assessment summative
- Appendix module description NA3112

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Reason: The change notification form informed the visitors of a number of amendments to the assessment strategy and design for the modules. From the documentation submitted with the change notification form, the visitors could not clearly identify how the assessments were previously designed and how they were now designed. The visitors therefore could not determine that the assessment strategy and design continued to allow individuals to meet the standard of proficiency for supplementary prescribing. The visitors would therefore like to receive further information.

Suggested documentation: Information which states what the assessments were previously and what has changed.

6.4 Assessment methods must be employed that measure the learning outcomes.

Reason: The change notification form informed the visitors of a number of amendments to the assessment strategy and design for the modules. From the documentation submitted with the change notification form, the visitors could not clearly identify how the assessments were previously designed and how they were now designed. The visitors therefore could not determine that the assessment methods employed continued to measure the learning outcomes. The visitors would therefore like to receive further information.

Suggested documentation: Information which states what the assessments were previously and what has changed.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details.....	1
Section three: Additional documentation.....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors.....	3

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme title	Supplementary Prescribing (2) (Formerly Supplementary Prescribing (M Level))
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	26 January 2011
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Gordon Burrow (Podiatrist) Bob Dobson (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 6 Assessment

Changes have been made to the assessment of the learning outcomes.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Module descriptor NAM 85
- Appendix 1 Application form
- Appendix 2 Module handbook NA3112 L6
- Appendix 3 Module handbook NAM 85 L7
- Appendix 4 Student handbook
- Appendix 5 NA3112 L6 CMP Assessment grid

- Appendix 6 NAM85 L7 CMP Assessment grid
- Appendix 7 NA3112 L6 Assessment Portfolio grid
- Appendix 8 NAM 85 L7 Assessment Portfolio Grid
- Appendix 9 Portfolio Calculation summative
- Appendix 10 Portfolio Clinical assessment summative
- Appendix module description NA3112

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Reason: The change notification form informed the visitors of a number of amendments to the assessment strategy and design for the modules. From the documentation submitted with the change notification form, the visitors could not clearly identify how the assessments were previously designed and how they were now designed. The visitors therefore could not determine that the assessment strategy and design continued to allow individuals to meet the standard of proficiency for supplementary prescribing. The visitors would therefore like to receive further information.

Suggested documentation: Information which states what the assessments were previously and what has changed.

6.4 Assessment methods must be employed that measure the learning outcomes.

Reason: The change notification form informed the visitors of a number of amendments to the assessment strategy and design for the modules. From the documentation submitted with the change notification form, the visitors could not clearly identify how the assessments were previously designed and how they were now designed. The visitors therefore could not determine that the assessment methods employed continued to measure the learning outcomes. The visitors would therefore like to receive further information.

Suggested documentation: Information which states what the assessments were previously and what has changed.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.