

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Programme title	Professional Doctorate in Clinical Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HCPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Clinical psychologist
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Ewan Gillon (Health and Counselling psychologist) Sabiha Azmi (Clinical psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date postal review	7 September 2012

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's report for one year ago
- External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

3.5. There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

Reason: From their reading of the documentation provided by the education provider, the visitors noted that there had been a reduction in the staffing for the programme. The highlighted reduction has included the loss of a full-time research director post, and a resultant redistribution of duties to other members of the programme team. The visitors noted in the documentation that the external examiners to the programme had highlighted potential concerns relating to the on-going research training and supervision of students as a result of the staffing changes that have been made. Therefore the visitors felt that they did not have sufficient evidence to show how the effective delivery of research training and supervision for students was being maintained by an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff.

Suggested documentation Evidence to demonstrate how the training and the supervision of students will continue to be delivered, ensuring that there is an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to maintain the delivery of the research strand of the programme.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Abertay Dundee
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Biomedical Sciences (Integrated)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Biomedical scientist
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Mary MacDonald (Biomedical scientist) Mary Popeck (Biomedical scientist)
HPC executive	Victoria Adenugba
Date of postal review	5 October 2012

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's report for one year ago
- External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
 - Students Complaints Procedure
 - Clinical Handbook
 - Module descriptors for HS0911A, HS0905A and B11010A

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2011-05-04	a	EDU	RPT	AM report	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Ulster
Programme title	Pharmacotherapeutics in Prescribing
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlements	Prescription only medicine
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Emma Supple (Chiropodist/ podiatrist) James Pickard (Chiropodist/ podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood
Date of postal review	25 October 2012

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's report for one year ago
- External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The NHS commissioning stakeholders requested that the programme took a fallow year for the last academic year; there is therefore no external examiner report or response for the last academic year.

Additional documentation:

- PGCert in Prescribing for AHPs Course Handbook 2009-2010
- Designated Medical Practitioner Handbook 2009-2010

- Student Handbook 2009-2010
- Pharmacotherapeutics module handbook 2009-2010

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.