

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing (level 7)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing (level 7) (SP only)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (level 6)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (level 6) (SP only)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non medical / Independent Prescribing
Education provider	Bangor University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary to Independent Prescribing
Education provider	Bangor University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary and Independent Prescribing For Physiotherapists and Chiropodists / Podiatrists
Education provider	Bournemouth University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: *E. Thornton*

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Non-Medical Prescribing Programme (V300)
Education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 3)
Education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: *E. Thornton*

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing (M Level)
Education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	De Montfort University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Post Graduate Certificate Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	De Montfort University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 6)
Education provider	Edge Hill University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 7)
Education provider	Edge Hill University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thornton

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	AHP SP – IP Conversion course
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing for Nurses Midwives and Allied Health Professionals
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: *E. Thornton*

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Radiographer Supplementary Prescriber
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	AHP Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Conversion
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 10
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 11
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 9
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Education provider	Keele University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Conversion to Independent Prescribing for Physiotherapists and Podiatrist Supplementary Prescribers
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Postgraduate Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Postgraduate Certificate in Independence and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	Medway School of Pharmacy
Mode of delivery	Distance Learning
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thornton

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advancing from Supplementary to Independent Prescribing
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advancing Non Medical Prescribing (postgraduate)
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing (undergraduate)
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-medical prescribing (SCVQG Level 11)
Education provider	The Robert Gordon University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-medical prescribing (SCVQG Level 9)
Education provider	The Robert Gordon University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE6)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE7)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Supplementary Prescribing (Radiographer)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Independent and/or Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University Campus Suffolk
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescriber to Independent Prescriber Conversion Programme
Education provider	University of Brighton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent Prescribing (1)
Education provider	University of Brighton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thornton

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advance Certificate Non Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of Chester
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Chester
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (Conversion)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: *E. Thornton*

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (Conversion)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (Supplementary Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (with SP pathway and IP pathway)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (Supplementary Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (with SP pathway and IP pathway)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Independent Prescribing (for Physiotherapists / Podiatrists)
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing (for Radiographers)
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Allied Health Professional Independent and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Hull
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and SP for Designated AHPs (PHs and CHs) level 6
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thornton

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and SP for Designated AHPs (PHs and CHs) level 7
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Level 6
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Level 7
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing - Independent Prescribing
Education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary and Independent Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Stirling
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary Prescribing Only)
Education provider	University of Stirling
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Prescribing Principles (Level 3)
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Prescribing Principles (Level M)
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Principles of Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: *E Thornton*

, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton(Chair) Joy Tweed
Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: 

, Panel Chair