

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MSc Speech and Language Therapy	
Education provider	City University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Pg Dip Speech and Language Therapy	
Education provider	City University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Graduate Certificate in Non Medical Prescribing
Education provider	De Montfort University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MA Art Psychotherapy
Education provider	Goldsmiths College University of London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MA Art Psychotherapy
Education provider	Goldsmiths College University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy	
Education provider	Keele University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Dietetics	
Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Pg Dip Dietetics	
Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signod.

Panel Chair



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Blood Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Cellular Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Genetic Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Infection Sciences)
Manchester Metropolitan University
Full Time
Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Prescribing for Non Medical Health Professionals
Northumbria University at Newcastle
Full Time
Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

## Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Prescribing for Non Medical Health Professionals
Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Panel Chair



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Swansea University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Clinical Psychology Doctorate (ClinPsyD)	
Education provider	University of Birmingham	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	DipHE Operating Department Practice	
Education provider	University of East Anglia	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatric Medicine	
Education provider	University of East London	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy	
Education provider	University of Liverpool	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair)

Penny Renwick

**Mary Clark-Glass** 

**Jeff Lucas** 

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair)

Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

Mary Clark-Glass

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### **Decision**

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## **Decision**

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Graduate Diploma Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

## Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Graduate Diploma Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography	
Education provider	University of Portsmouth	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography	
Education provider	University of Portsmouth	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

# Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing	
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

-				٠		
13	e	~		1	^	m
u	•		13		u	

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing	
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland	
Mode of delivery	Flexible	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

## **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing	
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013	

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

### **Decision**

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



# Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BHSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	York St John University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

#### Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BHSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	York St John University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Wednesday 27 March 2013

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Penny Renwick Jeff Lucas

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

## Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

#### Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.