

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Bristol
Programme title	Doctorate of Educational Psychology (D.Ed.Psy.)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Educational psychologist
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Peter Branston (Educational psychologist) Kevin Woods (Educational psychologist)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart
Date of postal review	9 December 2014

Section 2: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section 3: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Nottingham
Programme title	Professional Doctorate in Forensic Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Forensic psychologist
Name and role of HCPC visitors	George Delafield (Forensic psychologist) Julie Harrower (Forensic psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of postal review	19 January 2015

Section 2: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The Education Provider also submitted the following documents:

- Course handbook
- Assignment handbooks
- Placement handbook
- Prospectus for the full programme

- Course board and exam board minutes
- Supervisor training/meeting record
- Staff information
- Information on placement Interview questions and written test
- Points for the Placement Managers Meeting and Course Board Meeting June 2014
- SD Feedback to Students and responses

Section 3: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Nottingham
Programme title	Top up Professional Doctorate in Forensic Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Forensic psychologist
Name and role of HCPC visitors	George Delafield (Forensic psychologist) Julie Harrower (Forensic psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of postal review	19 January 2015

Section 2: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The Education Provider also submitted the following documents:

- Course handbook
- Assignment handbooks
- Placement handbook
- Prospectus for the full programme

- Course board and exam board minutes
- Supervisor training/meeting record
- Staff information
- Information on placement Interview questions and written test
- Points for the Placement Managers Meeting and Course Board Meeting June 2014
- SD Feedback to Students and responses

Section 3: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Programme title	Doctorate in Educational Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Educational psychologist
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Peter Branston (Educational psychologist) Trevor Holme (Educational psychologist)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart
Date of postal review	7 January 2015

Section 2: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Practice Placement Partnership Framework
- Examples of curriculum development 16-25
- Trainee feedback
- Advisory Group Terms of Reference
- Placement Handbook 2014-15
- British Psychological Society Accreditation through Partnership Handbook 2013

Section 3: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.