

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Aston University
<b>Programme title</b>	Foundation Degree in Hearing Aid Audiology
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Hearing aid dispenser
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	5 November 2014
<b>Name and role of HCPC visitors</b>	Richard Sykes (Hearing aid dispenser) Liz Ross (Hearing aid dispenser)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme is to be restructured. The programme will now run over a fifteen month period including the summer break to meet external stakeholder demands.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Revised Structures to Foundation Degree in Hearing Aid Audiology

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### 3.9 The resources to support student learning in all settings must effectively support the required learning and teaching activities of the programme.

**Reason:** Whilst the students will be monitored by practice placement educators within the new programme structure, from the documentation provided the visitors were unclear as to how the education provider will support the students as required during the summer months.

**Suggested documentation:** The visitors would like to see further evidence outlining how the education provider staff will be available to support students during the summer months under the new programme structure.

### Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Birmingham Metropolitan College
Name of validating body	Aston University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrist
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Catherine Smith (Chiropodist / podiatrist) Alison Wishart (Chiropodist / podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Jamie Hunt

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

SET 4: Curriculum

The education provider has detailed two changes to the programme. One is a change to the programme leader, and the other is a proposed change to the module assessments.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Abridged CV
- Assessment Grid
- Updated Assessment Grid
- Module Descriptors
- Agenda for the Aston University School of Life and Health Sciences Quality Subcommittee where this was discussed

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change Visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Bournemouth University
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Physiotherapist
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	18 November 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitors</b>	Karen Harrison (Physiotherapist)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has indicated a programme leader change and provided details of the new programme leader. The programme leader has changed from Judith Chapman to Colin Paterson.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (submitted by educational provider)
- Programme context summary
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of new programme leader (both full and shortened versions)

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation .....	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	Canterbury Christ Church University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Paramedic
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Glyn Harding (Paramedic) Robert Fellows (Paramedic)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section 2: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 5: Practice placements

SET 6: Assessment

The education provider made changes to the programme's curriculum and assessments. Previously, students were taught clinical scenarios and assessed by a single summative Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE). Now, formative assessments will be used to assess students.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Paramedic Science validation document

### **Section 3: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation .....	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section 1: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Name of validating body	University of Wales
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Philip Mandy (Chiropodist / podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

### Section 2: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed to Sarah Curran

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Sarah Curran curriculum vitae

### **Section 3: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section 4: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	3

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	Doctorate in Forensic Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Forensic psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 October 2014
Name and role of the HCPC visitors	George Delafield (Forensic psychologist) Lynn Dunwoody (Health psychologist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

SET 5: Practice placements

Currently the programmes are approved for 35 full time students. The education provider wishes to increase that to 50 full time students. This has implications for the management and resources and for the provision of practice placements.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Appendices 1 and 2 listing current and future proposed staffing for the programmes
- Appendix 3 Details of the role of the Associate Lecturer (Academic Supervisor) posts

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Following receipt of the documentation, the visitors made a final recommendation which can be found in section four.

#### **3.8 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be effectively used.**

**Reason:** After reviewing the submitted documentation, the visitors were unable to determine how the education provider will support students learning with the increased student numbers. The visitors require further evidence on how the current provision for learning resources will remain appropriate to support the increase to student numbers, or, any changes that will be made to the current provision of learning resources to support the increase in student numbers and how this will be actioned.

**Suggested Documentation:** Mapping current resources against the proposed increase to student numbers to evidence how current resources remain adequate to support the increase to student numbers. Or, lists of any amendments to resources and how any additional support needed has been scoped, together with details.

#### **5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.**

**Reason:** The education provider has stated that they intent to recruit four additional academic supervisors in the placement setting to support the increase to student numbers. However, the education provider has not provided any evidence on how the increase to student numbers may impact the availability of placements to students. The visitors require evidence of how the current provision for placements will remain appropriate to support the increase to student numbers, or, any changes that will be made to the current provision of placements to support the increase in student numbers and how this will be actioned.

**Suggested Documentation:** Mapping of the range, duration and number of placements against the proposed increase to student numbers to evidence how the current placement provision remains adequate to support the increase to student numbers. Or, lists of any amendments to placement provisions to support the proposed increase to student numbers.

#### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change Visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Cardiff Metropolitan University
<b>Programme title</b>	MSc Dietetics
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Dietitian
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	16 December 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC Visitor</b>	Tracey Clephan (Dietitian)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has indicated a programme leader change and provided details of the new programme leader. The current programme leader Rhiannon Harris will be replaced by Alison Nicholls.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (submitted by educational provider)
- Programme context summary
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of new programme leader
- Workload for new programme leader.

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change Visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	PG Dip Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Dietitian
Date of submission to the HCPC	16 December 2014
Name and role of the HCPC Visitor	Tracey Clephan (Dietitian)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has indicated a programme leader change and provided details of the new programme leader. The current programme leader Rhiannon Harris will be replaced by Alison Nicholls.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (submitted by educational provider)
- Programme context summary
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of new programme leader
- Workload for new programme leader.

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	3

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	Post Graduate Diploma in Practitioner Forensic Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Forensic psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 October 2014
Name and role of the HCPC visitors	George Delafield (Forensic psychologist) Lynn Dunwoody (Health psychologist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

SET 5: Practice placements

Currently the programmes are approved for 35 full time students. The education provider wishes to increase that to 50 full time students. This has implications for the management and resources and for the provision of practice placements.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Appendices 1 and 2 listing current and future proposed staffing for the programmes

- Appendix 3 Details of the role of the Associate Lecturer (Academic Supervisor) posts

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### **3.8 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be effectively used.**

**Reason:** After reviewing the submitted documentation, the visitors were unable to determine how the education provider will support students learning with the increased student numbers. The visitors require further evidence on how the current provision for learning resources will remain appropriate to support the increase to student numbers, or, any changes that will be made to the current provision of learning resources to support the increase in student numbers and how this will be actioned.

**Suggested Documentation:** Mapping current resources against the proposed increase to student numbers to evidence how current resources remain adequate to support the increase to student numbers. Or, lists of any amendments to resources and how any additional support needed has been scoped, together with details.

#### **5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.**

**Reason:** The education provider has stated that they intent to recruit four additional academic supervisors in the placement setting to support the increase to student numbers. However, the education provider has not provided any evidence on how the increase to student numbers may impact the availability of placements to students. The visitors require evidence of how the current provision for placements will remain appropriate to support the increase to student numbers, or, any changes that will be made to the current provision of placements to support the increase in student numbers and how this will be actioned.

**Suggested Documentation:** Mapping of the range, duration and number of placements against the proposed increase to student numbers to evidence how the current placement provision remains adequate to support the increase to student numbers. Or, lists of any amendments to placement provisions to support the proposed increase to student numbers.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Philip Mandy (Chiropodist / podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed to Sarah Curran

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Sarah Curran curriculum vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Imaging
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	9 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Stephen Boynes (Diagnostic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader for the programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Approved programme document that includes programme specification
- Curriculum vitae for new programme leader

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Programme title	MSc Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	13 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Jacqueline Waterfield (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader changed from Sharon Blumenthal to Sivaram Shanmugam

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- A Curriculum Vitae for Sivaram Shanmugam.

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Leeds Beckett University
Programme title	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	5 January 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Deborah Kouzarides (Social worker) David Childs (Social worker)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

SET 5: Practice placements

The education provider has indicated that there is an increase from 50 students per year to 77 for the programme. This has meant that there has been a requirement to increase staffing and placement resources to support a larger number of students.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Programme specification
- Course handbook
- Curriculum vitae for new staff members
- Job advertisements for principal lecturer and senior lecturer

- Copies of emails between the education provider and practice placement regarding placements
- Strategic Practice placement partnership group minutes
- Student numbers confirmation
- Annual monitoring and review meeting notes
- Course leader report
- Practice educator training schedule for the placement provider

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Leeds Beckett University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Dietitian
Date of submission to the HCPC	12 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Alison Nicholls (Dietitian)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed to Linsey King.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae Linsey King

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Speech Pathology and Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	16 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Lucy Myers (Speech and language therapist)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

#### SET 3: Programme management and resources

There has been a change of programme lead. Susan Barry has taken responsibility for operational programme leader duties, supported by Julie Phillips who will maintain professional leadership of the programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Susan Barry

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	New College Durham
Name of validating body	Leeds Beckett University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrist
Relevant entitlements	Local anaesthetic Prescription only medicine
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Brian Ellis (Chiropodist / podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for new programme leader

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	New College Durham
Name of validating body	Teesside University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrists
Relevant entitlements	Local anaesthetic Prescription only medicine
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Brian Ellis (Chiropodist / podiatrists)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for new programme leader

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	New School of Psychotherapy & Counselling & Middlesex University
Name of validating body	Middlesex University
Programme title	Doctorate in Counselling Psychology and Psychotherapy by Professional Studies (DCPsych)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Counselling psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Tony Ward (Counselling psychologist) Dave Packwood (Counselling psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

#### SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has moved to new premises with enhanced facilities for the programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Application Form
- Programme Handbook
- Memorandum of Cooperation

- Placement Handbook
- Architecture plans of the new facilities
- Photographs of new premises

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Nottingham Trent University
Programme title	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full Time Work Based Learning
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	17 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Teri Rogers (Social worker)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme leader Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for on-going approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on on-going approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation .....	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Nottingham Trent University
Programme title	MA Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full Time Work Based Learning
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	17 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Teri Rogers (Social worker)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme leader Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for on-going approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on on-going approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Nottingham Trent University
Programme title	PGDip Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full Time Work Based Learning
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	17 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Teri Rogers (Social worker)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme leader Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for on-going approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on on-going approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Oxford Brookes University
<b>Programme title</b>	BA (Hons) Social Work
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time Part time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Social worker in England
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	17 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitor</b>	Jane McLenachan (Social worker)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has appointed Maxine Fletcher as the new programme leader.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Staff Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation .....	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Programme title	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time Flexible
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Paramedic
Date of submission to the HCPC	5 December 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Mark Nevins (Paramedic) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources  
SET 5: Practice placements

The education provider plans to increase the student numbers by approximately 44 with two intakes in an academic year. The subsequent increase of students per cohort will affect the resources in place.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification

- Programme Team and Staffing Resources for Paramedic FdSc programme Including curriculum vitae
- Department of Clinical Health Care, Development Plan 2014-15
- South Central Ambulance Service & Health Education Thames Valley letters of Support
- Practice Placement Agreements
- Paramedic Programme Meeting Minutes
- Practice Learning Outcomes
- Workforce Development Report
- Paramedic Placement Pattern-Hospital Placement
- Paramedic Skills Equipment Funding Allocation 2015
- Policy for the Moderation of Student Assessments

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Oxford Brookes University
<b>Programme title</b>	MA Social Work
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time Part time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Social worker in England
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	17 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitor</b>	Jane McLenachan (Social worker)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has appointed Maxine Fletcher as the new programme leader.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Staff Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Oxford Brookes University
<b>Programme title</b>	PGDip Social Work
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time Part time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Social worker in England
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	17 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitor</b>	Jane McLenachan (Social worker)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has appointed Maxine Fletcher as the new programme leader.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Staff Curriculum Vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section 1: Programme details.....	1
Section 2: Submission details.....	1
Section 3: Additional documentation .....	2
Section 4: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme title	Aptitude Test in Hearing Aid Dispensing
Mode of delivery	Distance learning
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Hearing aid dispenser
Date of submission to the HCPC	18 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Hugh Crawford (Hearing aid dispenser)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader changed from Liz Ross to Christine Johnson.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for Christine Johnson
- Aptitude Test Handbook
- Staff members' Curriculum vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme title	Diploma in Higher Education Hearing Aid Audiology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Hearing aid dispenser
Date of submission to the HCPC	18 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Hugh Crawford (Hearing aid dispenser)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader changed from Liz Ross to Christine Johnson.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for Christine Johnson.
- Aptitude Test Handbook
- Staff members' Curriculum vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change Visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the Visitor.....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Sheffield Hallam University
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy (Practice Based Learning)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Work based learning
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Physiotherapist
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	17 December 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC Visitor</b>	Karen Harrison (Physiotherapist)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Amal Hussein

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from Edward Bakker to Myles Butler.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Documents submitted by education provider (Curriculum vitae for new programme leader)
- Context pack
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The Visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The Visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the Visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the Visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The Visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	3

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	St George's, University of London
<b>Programme title</b>	Foundation Science Degree in Paramedic Science
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time Part time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	23 September 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitor</b>	Marcus Bailey (Paramedic)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Amal Hussein

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has highlighted that the course director has changed to Peter Woodford.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for Peter Woodford

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### **3.4 There must be a named person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme who must be appropriately qualified and experienced and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be on the relevant part of the Register.**

**Reason:** The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of course director. The visitor was unable to determine if the new course director's experience is suitable for the course director role. Specifically, the chronological flow of the experience does not clearly identify the duration or currency of the academic roles undertaken. In addition to this, the education provider listed job roles within the department to evidence this standard. Whilst job roles have been listed, they do not identify the description of activities or responsibilities. Therefore, the visitor was unable to determine whether the new course director is appropriately qualified and experienced.

**Suggested documentation:** Further evidence and detail of the new programmes leaders experience to determine whether they are appropriately qualified and experienced.

#### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
  
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	3

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	St George's, University of London
<b>Programme title</b>	Foundation Science Degree in Paramedic Science (Gibraltar)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	23 September 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitor</b>	Marcus Bailey (Paramedic)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Amal Hussein

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has highlighted that the course director has changed to Peter Woodford.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for Peter Woodford

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

**3.4 There must be a named person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme who must be appropriately qualified and experienced and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be on the relevant part of the Register.**

**Reason:** The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of course director. The education provider has not provided sufficient information regarding the new course director's experience in respect of suitability for course director. While job roles have been listed, they do not identify the description of activities or responsibilities. The chronological flow of the experience does not clearly identify the duration or currency of the academic roles undertaken. Therefore, the visitor was unable to determine whether the new course director is appropriately qualified and experienced.

**Suggested documentation:** Further evidence to determine whether the new course director is appropriately qualified and experienced.

#### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
  
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	Teesside University
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Paramedic
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	6 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitors</b>	Glyn Harding (Paramedic) Marcus Bailey (Paramedic)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has increased student numbers from 40 to 60.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Teesside Paramedic evidence re SET 5.6 5.2 email re mentorship
- Teesside SASC extract paramedic numbers – September 2014
- Teesside SASC paramedic numbers SET 3
- Teesside programme leader SET 3.4 CV Vale Gary
- Teesside A&E minute extract Mat 14 paramedic numbers
- Teesside A&E minutes June'14 re paramedic numbers
- Teesside Evidence SET 3.5 Moat C

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	The University of Bolton
Programme title	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE6)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlements	Independent prescribing Supplementary prescribing
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Rosie Furner (Independent prescriber)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 November 2014

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

Standard B: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from Anna Billing to Trish Houghton.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change standards for prescribing for education providers mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for relevant staff members

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards for prescribing for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards for prescribing for all prescribers.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards for prescribing for all prescribers.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	The University of Bolton
Programme title	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE7)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlements	Independent prescribing Supplementary prescribing
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Rosie Furner (Independent prescriber)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 November 2014

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

Standard B: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from Anna Billing to Trish Houghton.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change standards for prescribing for education providers mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for relevant staff members

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards for prescribing for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards for prescribing for all prescribers.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards for prescribing for all prescribers.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Birmingham
Programme title	Doctorate in Forensic Psychology Practice (ForenPsyD)
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Forensic psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	22 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	George Delafield (Forensic psychologist)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Louise Dixon is now the programme leader for this programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for the new Programme Leader

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of East Anglia
Programme title	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Occupational therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 October 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Joanna Goodwin (Occupational therapist) Jennifer Caldwell (Occupational therapist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Anne Killett will be taking over from Deborah Harrison as course director of the MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration) course from 8 February 2015.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- HSC management organigram
- Curriculum vitae for Anne Killett

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Essex
Programme title	Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Catherine Smith (Chiropodist / podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of submission to the HCPC	13 November 2014

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

Standard B: Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed to Lorna Hollister.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change standards for prescribing for education providers mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Lorna Hollister curriculum vitae

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards for prescribing for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards for prescribing for all prescribers.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards for prescribing for all prescribers.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards for prescribing for education providers listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Lancaster
Programme title	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Clinical psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	25 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Ruth Baker (Clinical psychologist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

#### SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme was previously managed jointly by Anna Daiches and Jane Simpson. William Sellwood has taken over the overall responsibilities of the programme from Anna and Jane.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of William Sellwood
- Job Description of Chair in Clinical Psychology

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Programme title	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Operating department practitioner
Date of submission to the HCPC	20 November 2014
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Julie Weir (Operating department practitioner)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The programme Leader has changed from Karen Evans to Alec Rickard

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae for Alec Rickard

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitor**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors. ....	2

### Section one: Programme details.

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Strathclyde
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Pathology
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Speech and language therapist
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	24 October 2014
<b>Name and role of HCPC visitors</b>	Aileen Patterson (Speech and language therapist) Caroline Sykes (Speech and language therapist)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Mandy Hargood

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 4: Curriculum:

Some 20 credit courses have been split into 10 credit courses.

SET 5: Practice Placements:

Greater involvement of non-clinical placements in Year 1 to allow students a period of adjustment to placements and introducing a specific dysphagia placement in Year 4 as part of the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists guidelines for pre-registration in the UK, incorporating curriculum guidelines.

SET 6: Assessment:

Learning outcomes will be specifically aligned with particular forms of assessment ensuring greater transparency and consistency.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack

- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Business case for new degree.
- Course regulations.
- Module descriptors.
- Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists guidelines for pre-registration in the UK, incorporating curriculum guidelines.
- Inter professional dysphagia framework

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors.**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Ulster
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography and Imaging
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Radiographer
<b>Relevant modality</b>	Diagnostic radiographer
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	23 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitors</b>	Martin Benwell (Diagnostic radiographer) Derek Adrian-Harris (Diagnostic radiographer)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Hollie Latham

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

There has been a programme leader change from Deborah Harrison to Elizabeth Greer, effective from February 2015.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form
- Context pack
- Curriculum vitae for Elizabeth Greer

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

## Major change visitors' report

### Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details .....	1
Section three: Additional documentation .....	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors .....	2

### Section one: Programme details

<b>Name of education provider</b>	University of Ulster
<b>Programme title</b>	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic)
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full time
<b>Relevant part of the HCPC Register</b>	Radiographer
<b>Relevant modality</b>	Diagnostic radiographer
<b>Date of submission to the HCPC</b>	23 October 2014
<b>Name and profession of the HCPC visitors</b>	Martin Benwell (Diagnostic radiographer) Derek Adrian-Harris (Diagnostic radiographer)
<b>HCPC executive</b>	Hollie Latham

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

There has been a programme leader change from Deborah Harrison to Elizabeth Greer, effective from February 2015.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form
- Context pack
- Curriculum vitae for Elizabeth Greer

### **Section three: Additional documentation**

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### **Section four: Recommendation of the visitors**

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.